IIIX, IIIC. V. DIOCK		Document 29	Filed 09/07/2006	Dago 1 of 2
	Case 3:06-cv-02361-WHA [Document 39	Filed 08/04/2006	Page 1 of 3
1	KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP			
2	Jeffrey R. Chanin (No. 103649) Daralyn J. Durie (No. 169825) Leo L. Lam (No. 181861)			
3	Leo L. Lam (No. 181861) 710 Sansome Street			
4	San Francisco, CA 94111-5400			
5	Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Facsimile: (415) 397-7188 Email: jrc@kyn.com			
6	ddurie@kvn.com llam@kvn.com			
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant, Netflix, Inc.			
, 8	ALSCHULER GROSSMAN STEIN & KAHAN LLP			
	Marshall B. Grossman (No. 35958) William J. O'Brien (No. 99526) Tony D. Chen (No. 176635) Dominique N. Thomas (No. 231464) The Water Garden			
9				
10				
11	Fourth Floor, North Tower			
12	Santa Monica, CA 90404-4060 Telephone: 310-907-1000 Facsimile: 310-907-2000			
13	Facsimile: 310-907-2000 Email: mgrossman@agsk.com			
14	wobrien@agsk.com tchen@agsk.com			
15	dthomas@agsk.com			
16	Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant, Blockbuster Inc.			
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
18	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
19				
20	NETFLIX, INC., a Delawar	e corporation,	CASE NO. C	06 2361 WHA
21	Plaintiff,		STIPULATION STIPUL	ON AND H ORDER
22	vs.		GRANTING FIRST AME	ORDER LEAVE TO FILE
23	BLOCKBUSTER INC., a D corporation, DOES 1-50,	Delaware	COMPLAIN	T
24				
25	Defendants.			. 1 . 4 . 2006
26	AND RELATED COUNTER ACTION. Complaint Filed: April 4, 2006			
27		10-1-1-20-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-00-		
28				
ALSCHULER GROSSMAN STEIN & KAHAN LLP			STIPULATION AND LEAVE	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT C 06 2361 WHA

The parties, Plaintiff and Counterdefendant, Netflix, Inc., and Defendant and Counterclaimant, Blockbuster Inc., hereby stipulate as follows: The First Amended Complaint for Patent Infringement and

Demand for Jury Trial ("First Amended Complaint"), which Netflix filed with this Court on July 26, 2006, as Document 28, shall be deemed filed as of the date on which this Court enters its decision on Netflix's pending Motion to Dismiss or, Alternatively, to Bifurcate and Stay Defendant Blockbuster's Antitrust Counterclaims and to Strike Affirmative Defenses of Unenforceability and Patent Misuse (Document 21, filed July 6, 2006), which is presently set for hearing on August 17, 2006 ("Motion to Dismiss").

- Blockbuster shall have until twenty days after the Court enters 2. its decision on the Motion to Dismiss to file a response to the First Amended Complaint.
- 3. This stipulation and any order hereon shall not affect the proceedings on, or schedule for, the Motion to Dismiss.
- 4. Blockbuster stipulates to Netflix's receiving leave to file the First Amended Complaint on the foregoing terms and conditions and to Netflix's being relieved, on the foregoing terms and conditions, from its omission to request leave by July 28, 2006, to file the First Amended Complaint.

20 ///

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

/// 27

/// 28

5. Based on this Stipulation, Netflix's Motion for Relief Under 1 Civil Local Rule 7-11 (Document 33, filed August 2, 2006), shall be deemed moot. 2 3 DATED: August 4, 2006 KEKER & VAN NEST, LLP 4 5 By 6 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterdefendant, 7 Netflix, Inc. 8 DATED: August 4, 2006 ALSCHULER GROSSMAN STEIN & KAHAN LLP 9 10 By 11 Attorneys for Defendant and Counterclaimant, Blockbuster Inc. 12 13 |PROPOSED| ORDER 14 15 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. 16 DATED: August 7 , 2006 17 18 Honorable William H. Alsup United States District Judge 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING

Case 3:06-cv-92361-WHA Document 38 Filed 08/07/2006 Page 3 of 3

ALSCHULER GROSSMAN STEIN & KAHAN LLP